

## Trinity County Pesticide Enforcement Program Statistics

	2007	2008	2009
Operator identification numbers issued <sup>1</sup>	12	11	9
Restricted material permits issued <sup>1</sup>	3	2	2
Permits denied <sup>1</sup>	0	0	2
Multi-year permits <sup>1</sup>	0	0	3
Total permitted sites <sup>1, 2</sup>	1,076	0	560
Notices of intent (NOIs) reviewed <sup>1</sup>	6	0	0
NOIs assessed (Pre-application site inspections) <sup>1</sup>	4	0	1
NOIs denied <sup>1</sup>	0	0	0
Pounds of pesticide applied (active ingredient) <sup>3</sup>	9,969	13,186	7,329
Number of agricultural applications <sup>3</sup>	343	269	0
Number of non-agricultural applications <sup>3,4</sup>	6,562	4,032	1,530
Number of all inspections <sup>1</sup>	6	7	11
Unclaimed Gas Tax Distribution <sup>5,6</sup>	\$15,241	\$9,692	\$3,241
Pesticide Mill Assessment <sup>5</sup>	\$26,323	\$54,069	\$62,424

### Footnotes

<sup>1</sup> Source: Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report (PRAMR)

<sup>2</sup> Some counties did not report any permitted sites.

<sup>3</sup> Source: Department of Pesticide Regulation Pesticide Use Report Database


<sup>4</sup> Analysis of data indicates some problems with reporting and accurately recording these figures.

<sup>5</sup> Funding is on a fiscal year basis and is based on the prior year's workload.


<sup>6</sup> Funding is on a fiscal year basis. This amount represents 36 percent of the full amount distributed by CDFA for all 10 agricultural programs (FAC 2282) and pesticide use enforcement. The 36 percent represents the typical amount dedicated to pesticide use enforcement.

## Trinity County Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report Calendar Year Summary

**Percentage of Licensed Work Hours Reported By Category  
During Calendar Years 2007, 2008, and 2009**



**Number of Licensed Work Hours Reported By Category  
For Calendar Years 2007, 2008, and 2009**



# Trinity County Inspection Compliance

	Calendar Year 2007							Calendar Year 2008							Calendar Year 2009						
		Criteria Compliance							Criteria Compliance						Criteria Compliance						
Inspection Type	Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance	Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance	Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance
Agricultural																					
Field Worker Safety	0							0							0						
Pesticide Use - Application	1	22	1	23	95.7%	1	0.0%	1	13	1	14	92.9%	1	0.0%	2	33	1	34	97.1%	1	50.0%
Pesticide Use - Mix Load	1	23	1	24	95.8%	1	0.0%	1	15	1	16	93.8%	1	0.0%	2	35	2	37	94.6%	2	0.0%
Commodity Fumigation	0							0							0						
Field Fumigation	0							0							0						
Records	0							2	29		29	100.0%		100.0%	0						
Totals:	2	45	2	47	95.7%	2	0.0%	4	57	2	59	96.6%	2	50.0%	4	68	3	71	95.8%	3	25.0%
Structural																					
Fumigation	0							0							0						
Non-Fumigation Application	0							2	38		38	100.0%		100.0%	0						
Non-Fumigation Mix Load	0							0							0						
Records	0							0							0						
Totals:	0							2	38		38	100.0%		100.0%	0						
Overall Totals:	2	45	2	47	95.7%	2	0.0%	6	95	2	97	97.9%	2	66.7%	4	68	3	71	95.8%	3	25.0%

Trinity County Closed Compliance Actions and Closed Enforcement Actions

	2007		2008		2009	
	Hours	Numbers	Hours	Numbers	Hours	Numbers
<b>VIII. Compliance Actions</b>						
A. Warning Letters / Violation Notices		1		0		1
B. Cease and Desist Orders		0		0		0
C. Documented Compliance Interviews		0		0		0
<b>Total:</b>	6	1	0	0	3	1
<b>IX. Enforcement Actions</b>						
<b>A. Administrative Action:</b>						
1. Restricted Materials Permit		0		0		0
2. Private Applicator Certifications		0		0		0
3. County Registrations		0		0		0
4. Structural Civil Penalties		0		0		0
5. Agricultural Civil Penalties		0		0		0
<b>B. Judicial Actions:</b>						
1. Notice to Appear (Citations)		0		0		0
2. Cases Submitted to DA		0		0		0
3. Civil Complaints Filed		0		0		0
4. Criminal Complaints Filed		0		0		0
<b>C. Referrals to DPR</b>		0		0		0
<b>Total:</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: Compliance and Enforcement actions are not necessarily taken in the same calendar year when the violation occurs. Additionally, some actions may be due to discovery of violations by means other than inspections such as through investigations.

Trinity County

Most Common Agricultural Violations

Agricultural Elements Evaluated	Number of Violations			
	2007	2008	2009	Total
Labeling - Permit Condition	0	2	0	2
Handler Decontamination Facilities	0	0	2	2
Availability of Labeling	2	0	0	2
Closed Systems	0	0	1	1

Most Common Structural Violations

Structural Elements Evaluated	Number of Violations			
	2007	2008	2009	Total
There were no violations reported during these time periods.				

Prepared 8/5/2010